

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,578	11/04/2005	Bhavani Raghuraman	57.0520 US PCT	4819
2.000	7590 04/02/200 GER-DOLL RESEAR	EXAMINER .		
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT P.O. BOX 425045 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			VU, MINDY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2884	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,578	RAGHURAMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mindy Vu	2884			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment/s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's application filed November 04, 2005.

National Stage Application

The Examiner has considered the international preliminary examination report (IPER).

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed August 26, 2005 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98 (a)(1)(iii) that each page of the list must include a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Drawings

Art Unit: 2884

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Fig. 1 does not include any of the reference signs mention on page 5 line 26 – page 6 line 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Prather et al. (US 5,335,067, hereafter Prather).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,578

Art Unit: 2884

With respect to independent Claims 1 and 6, Prather discloses an apparatus and a method for analyzing water chemistry, the apparatus being adapted to operate downhole (Col. 1 lines 11-17) and comprising: a colouring agent supply device (probe 20) for supplying a colouring agent to a water sample, the colour of the water sample thus supplied being indicative of the water sample chemistry (Col. 8 lines 4-7), and a colorimetric analyzer (spectrophotometer) arranged to determine the colour of the water sample (Col. 2 lines 25-29).

With respect to Claim 2, Prather discloses the apparatus is installed downhole (Col. 2 line 47).

With respect to Claim 3, Prather discloses the colorimetric analyzer is operably connected to a processor which determines the water sample chemistry from the colour of the water sample (Col. 8 lines 4-15).

With respect to Claim 4, Prather discloses the calorimetric analyzer comprises a spectrometer (Col. 2 lines 25-29).

With respect to Claim 5, Prather discloses said apparatus is used for in situ analysis of downhole water chemistry (Col. 1 lines 11-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/532,578

Art Unit: 2884

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slentz et al. (US 3,407,042, hereafter Slentz) in view of Prather et al. (US 5,335,067, hereafter Prather).

With respect to independent Claim 7, Slentz discloses a method for monitoring contamination of downhole water (Col. 1 lines 10-15), the method comprising the steps of: (a) adding a tracer agent (nitrate ion) to a fluid which is a potential contaminant of the downhole water (Col. 2 lines 17-20), (b) supplying a colouring agent to a sample of the downhole water, the colour of the water sample thus supplied being indicative of the presence of the tracer agent, and (c) determining the colour of the water sample (Col. 3 lines 8-16).

Slentz omits the steps are performed in situ. Prather discloses a method for in situ spectrophotometric measurements of fluids including an apparatus for performing downhole is known (Col. 1 lines 11-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2884

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to perform the steps discussed in Slentz in situ as suggested by Prather in view of real-time monitoring of fluids.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mindy Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-8539. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

m٧